

Lecture 14 — The Structure of Semisimple Lie Algebras III

Prof. Victor Kac

Scribe: William Steadman

In this lecture we will prove more consequences of semisimplicity. Then we will prove a theorem that is used to prove that a Lie algebra is semisimple. Lastly, we will begin to examine examples of semisimple Lie algebras.

Recall some of the facts that we already know about semisimple Lie algebras. Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{F} , an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Choose a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} and consider the root space decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \right), \quad [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = 0, \quad \dim \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = 1.$$

We use this decomposition to rewrite the Killing form K as a sum over root spaces. For $h_1, h_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$ we have:

$$K(h_1, h_2) = \operatorname{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbf{ad} h_1)(\mathbf{ad} h_2) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \alpha(h_1)\alpha(h_2). \quad (1)$$

We proved in lecture 12 that $K|_{\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}}$ is non-degenerate and creates an isomorphism $\nu : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ by $\nu(h)(h') = K(h, h')$. From this isomorphism we define a bilinear form K on \mathfrak{h}^* :

$$K(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \alpha(\nu^{-1}(\lambda_1))\alpha(\nu^{-1}(\lambda_2)) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} K(\lambda_1, \alpha)K(\lambda_2, \alpha). \quad (2)$$

Definition 14.1. $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ is the \mathbb{Q} -span of Δ . (Note that $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{F}$ since $\operatorname{char} \mathbb{F} = 0$.)

Now, a few consequences of semisimplicity:

Theorem 14.1. For \mathfrak{g} as above, the following are true:

1. Δ spans \mathfrak{h}^* over \mathbb{F} .
2. $K(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$.
3. $K|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \times \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*}$ is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form with values in \mathbb{Q} .

Proof. 1. Suppose Δ does not span \mathfrak{h}^* , then there exists a non-zero $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\alpha(h) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$. This implies that $[h, \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}] = 0$ for all α from the definition of root space. It is also true that $[h, \mathfrak{h}] = 0$, as from lecture 12 the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} is abelian. Therefore, h is in $Z(\mathfrak{g})$. But \mathfrak{g} is semisimple, so $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, which is a contradiction.

2. From Equation (2) we have:

$$K(\lambda, \lambda) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} K(\lambda, \alpha)^2 \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*. \quad (3)$$

For $\lambda \in \Delta$, $K(\lambda, \lambda) \neq 0$, so:

$$\frac{4}{K(\lambda, \lambda)} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \left(\frac{2K(\lambda, \alpha)}{K(\lambda, \lambda)} \right)^2, \quad \lambda \in \Delta. \quad (4)$$

It follows that $\frac{4}{K(\lambda, \lambda)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $\lambda \in \Delta$ because by the string condition $\frac{2K(\lambda, \alpha)}{K(\lambda, \lambda)} = p - q \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that $K(\lambda, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for $\lambda \in \Delta$.

But since $\frac{2K(\alpha, \beta)}{K(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ and $K(\alpha, \alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}$ we conclude that $K(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Q}$.

3. From part 2, $K(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Q}$, hence $K(\lambda, \alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$, $\alpha \in \Delta$. Since by part 1, Δ spans \mathfrak{h}^* and the Killing form is non-degenerate on \mathfrak{h} , its restriction to $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ is non-degenerate as well.

Hence by equation (3), $K(\lambda, \lambda) \geq 0$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ since it is the sum of rational squares. This proves that K is positive and semi-definite.

It is a theorem of linear algebra that any non-degenerate positive semi-definite symmetric bilinear form is positive definite. This proves part 3. \square

Every semisimple algebra is the direct sum of simple algebras. The following exercise shows that this decomposition is unique up to permutation.

Exercise 14.1. Recall that a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^N s_j$ where s_j are simple Lie algebras.

Prove that this decomposition is unique up to permutation of the summands and prove that any ideal of \mathfrak{g} is a subsum of this sum.

Proof. We first prove the second part, take any ideal \mathfrak{h} . $\mathfrak{h} \cap s_j$ is an ideal of the subalgebra s_j . s_j is simple so this is either 0 or s_j . So $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^N (\mathfrak{h} \cap s_j) = \bigoplus_{j \in A} s_j$, which proves the second part.

For the first part, consider a second decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^M t_i$. Each t_i is an ideal and therefore is the direct sum of a subset of the s_j . t_i is simple, so it must be the direct sum of exactly one s_j . Similarly, each s_j is the direct sum of exactly one t_i . This proves the first part. \square

We will now examine how the decomposition of a Lie algebra corresponds to a decomposition of its root space.

Let \mathfrak{g} be the direct sum of two ideals, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$, where both \mathfrak{g}_i are semisimple. Consider for each of them the root space decomposition. To do this we choose a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h}_i in each \mathfrak{g}_i .

$$\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathfrak{h}_i \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_i} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \right) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \right)$$

Where \mathfrak{h} is $\mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2$ and $\Delta = \Delta_1 \sqcup \Delta_2$.

If $\alpha \in \Delta_1$ and $\beta \in \Delta_2$ and $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] = 0$, then we have that $\alpha + \beta \notin \Delta$ and $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$. In other words,

$$\Delta = \Delta_1 \sqcup \Delta_2, \quad \alpha + \beta \notin \Delta \cup 0 \text{ if } \alpha \in \Delta_1, \beta \in \Delta_2. \quad (5)$$

Definition 14.2. The set Δ in a vector space V is called *indecomposable* if it cannot be decomposed into a disjoint union of non-empty subsets Δ_1 and Δ_2 such that equation 5 holds.

With this notion, we can give a simple way to check if a semisimple Lie algebra is simple.

Theorem 14.2. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha)$ be a decomposition of a finite dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} into a direct sum of subspaces such that the following properties hold:

1. \mathfrak{h} is an abelian subalgebra and $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = 1$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$, where $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, a] = \alpha(h)a \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$,
2. $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}] = \mathbb{F}h_\alpha$, where $h_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ is such that $\alpha(h) \neq 0$,
3. \mathfrak{h}^* is spanned by Δ .

Then \mathfrak{g} is a semisimple Lie algebra. Moreover if the set Δ is indecomposable, then \mathfrak{g} is simple.

Lemma 14.3. Let \mathfrak{h} be an abelian Lie algebra and π its representation in a vector space V such that V has a weight space decomposition: $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V_\lambda$, where $V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid \pi(h)v = \lambda(h)v, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$.

If $U \subset V$ is a $\pi(\mathfrak{h})$ -invariant subspace, then $U = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} (U \cap V_\lambda)$.

Proof. For $u \in U$, let:

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^n v_{\lambda_i}, \quad v_{\lambda_i} \in V_{\lambda_i}, \quad \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j. \quad (6)$$

We will prove that all v_{λ_i} are in U by induction on n . For the case $n = 1$, $v_{\lambda_1} = u \in U$.

For the case, $n > 1$ we apply $\pi(h)$ to both sides:

$$\pi(h)u = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(h)v_{\lambda_i} \quad (7)$$

where $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ is chosen such that $\lambda_i(h) \neq \lambda_j(h)$ for $i \neq j$.

From equations 6 and 7, $\pi(h)u - \lambda_1(h)u = \sum_{i=2}^n (\lambda_i(h) - \lambda_1(h))v_{\lambda_i}$, where each term is not 0 by assumption.

By the inductive assumption, $v_{\lambda_i} \in U$ for $i \geq 2$, hence also $v_{\lambda_1} \in U$. □

Now for the proof of the theorem.

Proof. We want to prove that if \mathfrak{a} is an abelian ideal of \mathfrak{g} , then $\mathfrak{a} = 0$. Note that since \mathfrak{a} is an ideal, it is invariant with respect to $\text{ad } h$ on \mathfrak{g} . Hence by the lemma, either \mathfrak{g}_α is in \mathfrak{a} for some α or $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{a}$ is non-zero. This uses the fact that $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = 1$. In the first case, $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}] = \mathbb{F}h_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{a}$, but $[h_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\alpha] \neq 0$ since $\alpha(h_\alpha) \neq 0$. So \mathfrak{a} contains the non-abelian subalgebra $\mathbb{F}h_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, which is impossible since \mathfrak{a} is abelian.

In the second case, where $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{a}$ is non-zero, let $h \in \mathfrak{a}$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $h \neq 0$. By condition 3, \mathfrak{h}^* is spanned by Δ , so $\alpha(h) \neq 0$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta$. Hence $[h, \mathfrak{g}_\alpha] = \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$, which again contradicts that \mathfrak{a} is abelian. So $\mathfrak{a} = 0$.

This proves that \mathfrak{g} is semisimple.

Now the proof of simplicity, given that Δ is indecomposable.

Since \mathfrak{g} is semisimple, we have that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$, where the \mathfrak{g}_i are non-zero ideals. In the contrary case, by our discussion before Definition 14.2, this implies that Δ is decomposable. This is a contradiction, so \mathfrak{g} is simple. \square

The following is an easy method to determine if a set Δ is indecomposable.

Exercise 14.2. Prove that a finite subset: $\Delta \subset V \setminus \{0\}$ is an indecomposable set if and only if for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$, there exists a sequence $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \dots \gamma_s$ such that $\alpha = \gamma_1$, $\beta = \gamma_s$ and $\gamma_i + \gamma_{i+1} \in \Delta$ for $i = 1 \dots s - 1$.

Also for any $\Delta \subset V \setminus \{0\}$, construct its canonical decomposition into a disjoint union of indecomposable sets.

Proof. Consider the contrapositive. Suppose Δ is decomposable. Therefore, $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$. Take some $\alpha \in \Delta_1, \beta \in \Delta_2$. No sequence can exist with $\gamma_1 = \alpha$ and $\gamma_s = \beta$. For at some step in any such sequence $\gamma_i \in \Delta_1$ and $\gamma_{i+1} \in \Delta_2$. But $\gamma_i + \gamma_{i+1} \in \Delta$ contradicts the definition of a decomposition.

Suppose for some $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ no sequence exists. Let Δ_1 be the set of roots for which a sequence with α exists. Let Δ_2 be the set of roots for which a sequence with α does not exist. This is clearly a disjoint partition of Δ . Further Δ_1 and Δ_2 are non-empty as $\alpha \in \Delta_1, \beta \in \Delta_2$. For $\alpha' \in \Delta_1, \beta' \in \Delta_2$ $\alpha' + \beta' \notin \Delta$ as otherwise one can concatenate the sequence from $\alpha = \gamma_1$ to $\alpha' = \gamma_s$ and from $\alpha' = \gamma_s$ to $\beta' = \gamma_{s+1}$. Thus, $\Delta = \Delta_1 \sqcup \Delta_2$, where Δ_1 is indecomposable. Now apply the same argument to Δ_2 , etc. Since Δ is a finite set, we obtain the decomposition of Δ in a finite number of steps. \square

14.1 Examples of Semisimple Lie Algebras

Example 14.1. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})$, where \mathbb{F} is an algebraically closed field with characteristic 0. Let the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} be the set of all traceless diagonal matrices. \mathfrak{h} lies in D_n , the space of all diagonal matrices. Denote by ϵ_i the following linear function on D : $\epsilon_i(A) = a_i$, the i th coordinate of the diagonal of matrix A . The set $\{\epsilon_i \mid i = 1 \dots n\}$ is clearly a basis of D^* . If $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \nmid n$, another basis is $\{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}, \epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n \mid i = 1 \dots n - 1\}$, since it generates the first basis and has the same size. $\mathfrak{h} = \{a \in D \mid (\epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n)a = 0\}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{h}^* = D^*/(\epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n)$, and $\{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1} \mid i \neq j\}$ is a basis for \mathfrak{h}^* .

The root space decomposition of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(F)$ is $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, where $\Delta = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid i \neq j\}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j} = \mathbb{F}e_{ij}$.

To prove $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is semisimple, we check the conditions of theorem 14.2. 1. is clearly true. 2. $[e_{ij}, e_{ji}] = e_{ii} - e_{jj}$, $(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j)(e_{ii} - e_{jj}) = 2 \neq 0$. This is why \mathbb{F} cannot be characteristic 2. 3. When $\text{char} \mathbb{F} \nmid n$, the $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$ span \mathfrak{h} , so Δ spans \mathfrak{h} .

This implies $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is semisimple for any $n \neq 2$ and $\text{char} \mathbb{F} \nmid n$. To show it is simple, we prove that Δ is indecomposable.

Let $\alpha = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$, $\beta = \epsilon_s - \epsilon_t$. Using exercise 14.2, let $\gamma_1 = \alpha$, $\gamma_2 = \epsilon_j - \epsilon_s$, $\gamma_3 = \beta$. This is a string from α to β as $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_s \in \Delta$ and $\gamma_2 - \gamma_3 = \epsilon_j - \epsilon_t \in \Delta$. This proves Δ is indecomposable and that $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is simple.

Exercise 14.3. The above argument fails if $\text{char} \mathbb{F} \mid n$. As $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ contains a non-trivial abelian ideal, $Z(\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F}))$ since $I_n \in Z(\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F}))$. How does the argument fail?

Proof. The argument fails because Δ does not span \mathfrak{h}^* . When $\text{char} \mathbb{F} \mid n$, $I_n \in \mathfrak{h}$ and Δ is still $\{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid i \neq j\}$. Since $(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j)(I_n) = 0$, Δ can't span \mathfrak{h}^* . \square